Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/586,716	LACOMBE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jordan M. Schwartz	2873
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Jordan M. Schwartz</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Laura Wanek</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 September 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 13, 23, 24, 27, 32-33		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	3 DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Jordan M. Schwartz/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)
	== ***	- 11 1 /



Application No. 10/586,716

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The changes to the claims, set forth in the examiner's amendment, were discussed and agreed upon to correct antecedent basis issues and provide additional clarity to applicant's intended meaning. It was also agreed to add title headings to the specification as per the examiner's amendment.